Notice: This decision is subject to formal revision before publication in the <u>District of Columbia Register</u>. Parties are requested to notify the Office Manager of any formal errors in order that corrections may be made prior to publication. This notice is not intended to provide an opportunity for a substantive challenge to the decision.

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE

THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS

In the Matter of:)	
MARK RAY, Employee)	OEA Matter No. 1601-0114-09
v.)	Date of Issuance: September 10, 2009
D.C. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, Agency))))	ERIC T. ROBINSON, Esq. Administrative Judge
Mark Ray, Employee Pro-Se	/	

Christine V. Davis, Esq., Agency Representative

INITIAL DECISION

INTRODUCTION AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On April 14, 2009, Mark Ray ("Employee") filed a petition for appeal with the Office of Employee Appeals ("OEA" or "Office") contesting the District of Columbia Department of Public Works ("Agency") adverse action of removing him from service. I was assigned this matter on September 9, 2009. After reviewing the documents of record, I discovered that the parties, on their own accord, executed a settlement agreement that resolved all of the underlying issues in this matter. Further, in accordance with one of the requirements of said settlement agreement, Employee executed a letter voluntarily withdrawing his petition for appeal with the OEA. In consideration of the settlement agreement and Employee's voluntary withdrawal, I have decided that no further proceedings are warranted. The record is now closed.

JURISDICTION

The Office has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 1-606.03 (2001).

<u>ISSUE</u>

Should this matter be dismissed?

ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSION

The Employee has voluntarily withdrawn his petition for appeal. Pursuant to this withdrawal, I conclude that this matter may now be dismissed.

ORDER

It is hereby ORDERED that this matter be DISMISSED.

FOR THE OFFICE:	
	ERIC T. ROBINSON Esq. Administrative Judge